PCT

ATY
REC'D 2 5 OCT 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference				See Notifi	ication of Transmittal of International		
48530			FOR FURTHER ACTION		ry Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.			International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IB00/01260			05/07/2000		05/07/1999		
Internation A61K51		ent Classification (IPC) or a	national classification and IPC	<u>.</u>			
Applicant							
ORTIZ A	ARMU	JA, Pedro					
1. This and i	intern is tran	ational preliminary examitted to the applicant	mination report has been prepart according to Article 36.	red by this Int	ernational Preliminary Examining Authority		
2. This	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
Ł	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
Thes	e ann	exes consist of a total of	of sheets.				
3. This	report Signature The state of	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of invent Reasoned statement	under Article 35(2) with regard t		and industrial applicability entive step or industrial applicability;		
M	⊠	citations and explanat	tions suporting such statement				
VI			international application				
VIII			on the international application				
Date of sub	omissio	on of the demand	Date (of completion of	f this report		
05/02/2001				.2001			
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d				rized officer	Case Color Miles Care I Received		
		+49 89 2399 - 4465		none No. +49 8	9 2399 8659		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB00/01260

I. Basis of the report

1.	the and	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, pages:							
	1-8		as originally filed						
	Cla	Claims, No.:							
	1-2	3	as originally filed						
	Sec	Sequence listing part of the description, pages:							
	1, a	1, as originally filed							
	, fil	ed with the demand							
2.	With lang	th regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the aguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	hese elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).						
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (ur 55.2 and/or 55.3).							
3.	With inter	n regard to any nuc l rnational preliminary	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the ational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	\boxtimes	contained in the int	ernational application in written form.						
		filed together with t	he international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.							
4.	The	amendments have	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB00/01260

	_						
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been considered to go bey	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been rond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):				
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Ado	ditional observations, if necessary:					
III.	Nor	n-establishment of o	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
1.	The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire internation	al application.				
	×	claims Nos. 3,4, 12-2	22.				
be	because:						
	⊠	Item III, paragraph 2	application, or the said claims Nos. 12-22 with respect to IA only (see separate sheet and Item V, paragraph 5) relate to the following subject matter which does not require ninary examination (specify):				
	⊠	the description, claim separate sheet Item I see separate sheet	is or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 3, 4 (see II, paragraph 2) are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said cla	aims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion				
		no international searc	ch report has been established for the said claims Nos				
	and/	reaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide for amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative ructions:					
		the written form has r	not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
			e form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.				
V.	Rea:	asoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ations and explanations supporting such statement					

1. Statement



International application No. PCT/IB00/01260

Novelty (N)

Yes:

Claims 10, 11, 15-20, 22

No:

Claims 1-2, 5-9, 12-14, 21, 23

Inventive step (IS)

Yes:

Claims

Claims 1, 2, 5-23

No: Yes:

No:

4.7

Claims 1-11, 23 Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Industrial applicability (IA)

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- Claims 12-22 relate to a method of treatment or diagnosis of a human or animal 1. body and therefore relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).
- 2. Claims 3 and 4 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved ("capable of performing a specific binding in the salivary glands...") which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. In order to remove this objections, the technical features necessary for achieving this result should be added (PCT Guidelines III-4.7)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The assessment of the claims of the present application with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability is done under the assumption that the priority of the present application is validly claimed.
- 2. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: OZKER, K. S. ET AL: '99mTc labeled substance P (SP) analogues for SP receptor imaging.' JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE, (MAY, 2000) VOL. 41, NO. 5 SUPPL., PP. 246P. PRINT.. MEETING INFO.: 47TH ANNUAL MEETING OF THE SOCIETY OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE. ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, USA JUNE 03-07, 2000 SOCIETY OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE.
 - D2: FISCHMAN A.J. ET AL: 'A ticket to ride: Peptide radiopharmaceuticals.' JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE, (1993) 34/12 (2253-2263).
 - **D3**: EP-A-0 892 053

3. Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

- 3.1. **D1** discloses a radiolabeled tachykinin peptide analogue labeled with a ^{99m}Tc isotope, for in vivo detection of SP receptor tissues (found in inflammatory diseases and neoplasms) where the linking molecule between the peptide and the isotope is a 1-imino-4-mercaptobutyl-group. In-vivo uptake in mice was shown in the salivary glands. The peptide is defined as the Substance P undecapeptide, belonging to the family of tachykinin peptides (abstract); the sequence is therefore implicitly disclosed. Since the isotope used in **D1** is the same as in the present application, the same half-life is implicitly disclosed.
 - **D1** is therefore novelty-destroying for the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 5-9, 12-14, 21, and 23 of the present application.
- 3.2. Claims 10-11, 15-20, and 22 contain novel subject-matter.

4. Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

- 4.1. The subject-matter of claim 10 is not inventive, since D2 states that ^{99m}Tc is an excellent candidate for peptide labelling, and describes bifunctional chelates employing DTPA (p. 2255, right column, 4th paragraph). Furthermore, the expert in the field is familiar with linking molecules. Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 11 represents a mere alternative that the expert would chose without the use of inventive activity.
- 4.2. The subject-matter of **claims 15 and 16** is a priori not considered to be inventive the expert in the field knows the sequences of the different receptor subtypes and would be able to adapt the tachykinin analogue accordingly as well as test its affinity.
- 4.3. The subject-matter of claims 17-20 and 22 is not inventive in the light of D1, which discloses in vivo labelling in a mouse. The expert in the field would therefore not hesitate to assume that in vivo labelling would work in any living mammalian organism.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/IB00/01260

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Industrial applicability (Art. 33(4) PCT) 5.

For the assessment of the present claims 12-22 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO. for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VI

Certain documents cited

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Application No Patent No

Publication date (day/month/year)

Filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)

WO 00/50086

31.8.2000

24.2.2000

24.2.1999